

Allgemeine Informationen	
Beschreibung	Ressource
Peer-reviewed Plattform mit zahlreichen Ressourcen und Fallstudien	Gendered Innovations in Science, Health & Medicine, Engineering, and Environment (2011-2021): Analyzing Sex in Biomedicine: https://genderedinnovations.stanford.edu/methods/sex_biomed.html Analyzing Gender in Health and Biomedicine: https://genderedinnovations.stanford.edu/methods/gender_biomed.html Intersectional Approaches: https://genderedinnovations.stanford.edu/methods/intersect.html
Material zu Sex as a Biological Variable (SABV) des NIH's	National Institute of Health, Office of Research on Women's Health; Sex as a Biological Variable: https://orwh.od.nih.gov/sex-as-biological-variable
Roadmap mit sieben Schritten für geschlechtersensible Analysen	Johns Hopkins University Affiliate (2020). Seven Steps to a Gender Analyses: https://gender.jhpiego.org/analysistoolkit/seven-steps-to-a-gender-analysis/
Review mit Roadmap zur geschlechts-disaggregierten Gesundheitsforschung, inklusive kardiovaskulärer Fallstudie	Peters, S.A.E., Woodward, M. A roadmap for sex- and gender-disaggregated health research. <i>BMC Med</i> 21 , 354 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-023-03060-w
Review zum Impact geschlechtersensibler Analyse, inklusive Entscheidungsbäumen für geschlechtersensible Forschung	Tannenbaum, C., Ellis, R.P., Eyssel, F. <i>et al.</i> Sex and gender analysis improves science and engineering. <i>Nature</i> 575 , 137–146 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1657-6
Artikel zu Intersektionalität in der quantitativen naturwissenschaftlichen Forschung, inklusive Guidelines und Strategien für die Integration von Intersektionalität in allen Forschungsphasen	Nielsen, M. W., Gissi, E., Heidari, S., Horton, R., Nadeau, K. C., Ngila, D., Noble, S. U., Paik, H. Y., Tadesse, G. A., Zeng, E. Y., Zou, J., & Schiebinger, L. (2025). Intersectional analysis for science and technology. <i>Nature</i> , 640(8058), 329–337. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-025-08774-w
Artikel zu Geschlechteraspekten in Forschungsanträgen, inklusive neun Vorschlägen zur Integration von Geschlecht in Forschungsanträge	Mason, R. Doing better: eleven ways to improve the integration of sex and gender in health research proposals. <i>Res Integr Peer Rev</i> 5 , 15 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1186/s41073-020-00102-2

Editorial des National Institute of Health (NIH) zu Intersektionalität als Design und Methode in Public Health-Forschung	Alvidrez, J., Greenwood, G. L., Johnson, T. L., & Parker, K. L. (2021). Intersectionality in Public Health Research: A View From the National Institutes of Health. <i>American journal of public health</i> , 111(1), 95–97. https://doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.2020.305986
Formulierung von Forschungsfragen	
Peer-reviewed Plattform mit zahlreichen Ressourcen und Fallstudien	Gendered Innovations in Science, Health & Medicine, Engineering, and Environment (2011-2021): Rethinking Research Priorities and Outcomes: https://genderedinnovations.stanford.edu/methods/priorities.html Formulating Research Questions: https://genderedinnovations.stanford.edu/methods/questions.html
Analyse und Reporting	
SAGER Guidelines	Heidari, S., Babor, T.F., De Castro, P. <i>et al.</i> Sex and Gender Equity in Research: rationale for the SAGER guidelines and recommended use. <i>Res Integr Peer Rev</i> 1, 2 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1186/s41073-016-0007-6
Systematisches Review zu sex und gender in Reporting Guidelines für Gesundheitsforschung	Gogovor, A., Zomahoun, H. T. V., Ekanmian, G., Adisso, É. L., Deom Tardif, A., Khadhraoui, L., Rheault, N., Moher, D., & Légaré, F. (2021). Sex and gender considerations in reporting guidelines for health research: a systematic review. <i>Biology of sex differences</i> , 12(1), 62. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13293-021-00404-0
Kommentar zum Reporting von sex und gender in medizinischer Forschung inklusive Checkliste	Thompson, K., Peters, S., Woodward, M., Carcel, C., & Norton, R. (2019). Reporting sex and gender in medical research. <i>Lancet (London, England)</i> , 393(10185), 2038. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(19)31041-4
Standpunkt zum Reporting von Geschlecht in der klinischen Forschung	Clayton, J. A., & Tannenbaum, C. (2016). Reporting Sex, Gender, or Both in Clinical Research?. <i>JAMA</i> , 316(18), 1863–1864. https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2016.16405